

Symmetry operators and separation of variables for Dirac's equation on two-dimensional spin manifolds with external fields

Lorenzo Fatibene*

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Torino, Italy
INFN Sezione Torino- Iniz. Spec. Na12*

Raymond G. McLenaghan†

Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1, Canada

Giovanni Rastelli‡

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Torino, Italy

The second order symmetry operators that commute with the Dirac operator with external vector, scalar and pseudo-scalar potentials are computed on a general two-dimensional spin-manifold. It is shown that the operator is defined in terms of Killing vectors, valence two Killing tensors and scalar fields defined on the background manifold. The commuting operator that arises from a non-trivial Killing tensor is determined with respect to the associated system of Liouville coordinates and compared to the the second order operator that arises from that obtained from the unique separation scheme associated with such operators. It shown by the study of several examples that the operators arising from these two approaches coincide.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Gz, 02.40.Vh, 04.20.q

I. INTRODUCTION

The two-dimensional Dirac equation is currently of great interest due to the connections with graphene's physics [24] and other experimental studies [12]. It is known that the existence of multiplicatively separated solutions of the Dirac equation $\mathbb{D}\psi = \mu\psi$ in a given coordinate system and frame implies the additive separability in the same coordinates of the geodesic Hamilton-Jacobi equation. The logical chain of implications works as follows: if the Dirac equation admits multiplicatively separated solutions, then so does the squared Dirac equation; the highest-order terms of this equation coincide with those of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ acting on each component ψ_i of the solution. Indeed, it can be shown [27, 34] that in this case the Helmholtz equation $\Delta\psi = \mu^2\psi$ must admit separable solutions. The multiplicative separation of the last equation is possible only if the same coordinates allow the additive separation of the geodesic Hamilton-Jacobi equation and the Ricci tensor is diagonalized in the same coordinates (Robertson condition) ([4] and references therein). There exists examples of separable Schrödinger equations whose corresponding Dirac equations are not separable [16, 36]. Among the relevant steps towards a general theory we mention the works by Miller [27] and Shapovalov and Ekle [29] where a theory of complete separation associated with first-order symmetry operators of the Dirac operator is developed. Several contributions to the search for exact solutions of the Dirac equation in curved spaces may be found in Bagrov and Gitman [2] and Cook [18]. In Shishkin [30, 31] and Shishkin et al. [1, 32, 33] an algebraic procedure is developed to obtain separation relations for the solution of the Dirac equation. The definition of separation used therein coincides essentially with our definition of "naive separation" [26] in dimension two. It seems that any definition of separation of variables in two dimensions would yield the same results. In [25] it is proved that in Minkowski spaces all second-order symmetry operators of the Dirac operator (without external fields) can be factorized into products of the first-order operators. It thus seemed that the problem of separation could be reduced to separation associated only to first-order operators. However, Fels and Kamran showed in [23] that this is not the case. Indeed, even if a second-order symmetry operator can be factorized into first-order ones, these factors do not represent all the possible ways to separate the Dirac equation, but only some of them. The existence of second-order symmetry operators is therefore important. The separation constants that finally appear in the separated spinors solution must be dynamical constants of the system, as also happens in the classical theory of separation for Hamilton-Jacobi and Schrödinger equations. It seems that the only way to obtain such dynamical constants is as eigenvalues of

*Electronic address: lorenzo.fatibene@unito.it

†Electronic address: rgmclena@uwaterloo.ca

‡Electronic address: giovanni.rastelli@unito.it

symmetry operators of the Dirac operator, again in analogy with the separation of Schrödinger equation. In [26] this ansatz is employed for the separation of the Dirac equation in two-dimensional Riemannian manifolds. The separation relations are used to build first- and second-order symmetry operators; they arise naturally from the decoupling of the separation relations. It turns out that not all the separation relations lead to symmetry operators. Some of these relations are connected only to second-order symmetry operators, in accordance with [23]. It also follows that at least one of the variables is first-class, that is ignorable modulo rescalings. These results are extended and refined in [22] and [9], where a complete characterization of first and second-order symmetry operators of the Dirac operator is achieved in two-dimensional Riemannian and Lorentzian manifolds.

In the present paper we assume, as previously, that the Dirac equation is of eigenvalue-type, that is

$$\mathbb{D}\psi = \mu\psi.$$

Consequently, we consider separately the operator $\mathbb{D}$, where the eigenvalue μ does not appear, and the above equation. We make the same distinction between any second-order symmetry operator $\mathbb{K}$ and the eigenvalue-type equation

$$\mathbb{K}\psi = \nu\psi.$$

The distinction is mathematically relevant because, otherwise, we characterize $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{K}$ as operators depending on μ and ν and consequently the eigenvalues are no longer free parameters labelling distinct solutions of the same Dirac equation but become parameters determining distinct Dirac equations, each one with a family of solutions depending on less parameters than the free case. The separation of variables that we consider here is called complete in [27, 34] and depends on nm free parameters, where m is the dimension of the space of spinors, which is equal to two in our case, and n is the dimension of the configuration manifold. The separability property described above [26] is characterized invariantly in terms of second-order differential operators constructed from valence-two Killing tensors that commute with the Dirac operator and admit the separable solutions as eigenfunctions with the separable constants as eigenvalues [9, 22]. In these works we obtain the most general second-order linear differential operator that commutes with the Dirac operator on a general two-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Further it is shown that the operator is characterized in terms of Killing vectors and valence-two Killing tensors defined on the background manifold. The derivation is manifestly covariant: the calculations are done in general orthonormal frame independent of the choice of Dirac matrices.

The purpose of the present paper is to extend the results to the case when external vector, scalar and pseudo-scalar potentials are included.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section II we summarize the basic properties of two-dimensional spin manifolds required for subsequent calculations. Section III is devoted to the derivation of the form of the general second-order linear differential operator which commutes with the Dirac operator, we show that this operator is characterized by a valence two Killing tensor field, two Killing vector fields and two scalar fields defined on the background spin manifold. In Sections IV and V we determine the forms of the non-trivial first-order and second-order symmetry operators and we determine their integrability conditions in general coordinates. The Killing tensor characterizing second-order symmetry operators implies the existence of a system of canonical orthogonal coordinates called Liouville coordinates, in which the Killing tensor is diagonal. In Section VI we determine the coefficients of the non-trivial second-order symmetry operator in this system of coordinates by solving the determining equations and their integrability conditions. Section VII we apply to the present case the separability conditions determined in [26] for the unique separation scheme associated with second-order symmetry operators. Several examples are studied and it is shown that the second-order symmetry operator obtained agrees with that constructed in Section VI. The Conclusion is contained in Section VIII.

II. SPIN MANIFOLDS

Let us consider a signature $\eta = (r, s)$ for dimension $m = r + s$. Let us denote by η_{ab} the corresponding canonical symmetric tensor. By an abuse of language we shall also denote by η the determinant of the bilinear form η_{ab} . A representation of the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}(\eta)$ is induced by a set of Dirac matrices γ_a such that

$$\gamma_a \gamma_b + \gamma_b \gamma_a = 2\eta_{ab} \mathbb{I} \quad (1)$$

with $a, b, \dots = 0, \dots, m-1$.

We stress that we shall not fix a particular set of Dirac matrices until Section VII when we consider schemes for separation of variables. Until then we shall use only the algebraic consequences of (1). The *even Clifford algebra* is spanned by the following matrices, namely $\mathbb{I}, \gamma_a, \gamma_{ab} := \gamma_a \gamma_b, \dots$. The corresponding group $\text{Spin}(\eta)$ is a multiplicative

group in $\mathcal{C}(\eta)$. One can define a covering map $\ell : \text{Spin}(\eta) \rightarrow \text{SO}(\eta)$ by showing that for any element S of $\text{Spin}(\eta)$ one has $S\gamma_a S^{-1} = \ell_a^b \gamma_b$ with the matrix ℓ_a^b is in $\text{SO}(\eta)$. We stress that until now we are at a purely algebraic level.

Let now M be a connected, paracompact, m dimensional spin manifold. Let $P \rightarrow M$ be a suitable $\text{Spin}(\eta)$ -principal bundle, such that it allows global (principal) morphisms $e : P \rightarrow L(M)$ of the *spin bundle* P into the *general frame bundle* $L(M)$. The local expression of such maps is given by *spin frames* e_a^μ ; see [20], [21]. Let e_μ^a denote the inverse matrix of the spin frame e_a^μ . A spin frame induces a metric $g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^a \eta_{ab} e_\nu^b$ and a spin connection

$$\Gamma_\mu^{ab} = e_\alpha^a (\Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\alpha e^{b\beta} + \partial_\mu e^{b\alpha}) \quad (2)$$

where $\Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\alpha$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of the induced metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. We note that such a connection satisfies $\nabla_\mu e_a^\nu = \partial_\mu e_a^\nu + \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\nu e_a^\lambda + \Gamma_{a\mu}^b e_b^\nu = 0$, and is antisymmetric in the upper indices $[ab]$. We also remark that Latin indices are raised and lowered by the inner product η_{ab} while Greek indices are raised and lowered by the induced metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. For subsequent use we introduce the frame covariant derivative $\nabla_a := e_\mu^a \nabla_\mu$.

If an electromagnetic field is allowed then a covariant potential $A_\mu(x)$ is to be considered. The electromagnetic field A_μ is a principal connection on a suitable $U(1)$ -bundle Q . In this setting the spinor fields ψ are sections of a bundle Σ associated to $P \times_M Q$. The field strength of the electromagnetic field will be defined as

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \quad F_{ab} = e_a^\mu e_b^\nu F_{\mu\nu} \quad (3)$$

If a (matrix) potential is allowed it will be denoted by a function $\mathbf{V}(x)$. Of course, the mass is a particular case of scalar potential and can be merged into the potential function $\mathbf{V} = m^2 \mathbb{I}$.

The Dirac equation then has the form

$$\mathbb{D}\psi = i\gamma^a D_a \psi - \mathbf{V}(x)\psi = 0, \quad (4)$$

where the gauge covariant derivative of the spinor ψ is defined as

$$D_\mu \psi = \partial_\mu \psi + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_\mu^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \psi - iq A_\mu \psi \quad D_a := e_a^\mu D_\mu \quad (5)$$

A *gauge transformation* is an automorphism of the bundle $P \times_M Q$, i.e. locally

$$\begin{cases} x' = f(x) \\ g' = S(x) \cdot g \\ e^{i\theta'} = e^{i\alpha(x)} \cdot e^{i\theta} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

with $e^{i\alpha(x)} \in U(1)$ and $S(x) \in \text{Spin}(\eta)$.

Gauge transformations form a group denoted by $\text{Aut}(P \times_M Q)$ which acts on spinors, frame, spin connection and electromagnetic field by

$$\begin{cases} \psi' = e^{i\alpha} S \cdot \psi \\ e_a'^\mu = J_\nu^\mu e_b^\nu \ell_a^b(S) \\ A'_\mu = \bar{J}_\mu^\nu (A_\nu + \partial_\nu \alpha) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \Gamma_\mu'^{ab} = \bar{J}_\mu^\nu \ell_c^a(S) (\Gamma_\nu^{cd} \ell_d^b(S) + d_\nu \ell_d^c(S) \eta^{db}) \quad (7)$$

leaving the Dirac equation (4) invariant. Here J_ν^μ is the Jacobian matrix of the spacetime transformation $x' = f(x)$ onto which the spin transformation projects and $\bar{J}_\nu^\mu$ denotes the anti-Jacobian. The covering map is denoted by ℓ as above. The potential $\mathbf{V}$ transforms under gauge transformations as a scalar field.

Depending on the object on which it acts, the covariant derivative D_μ may or may not depend on the electromagnetic potential A_μ . If the object on which the covariant derivative acts is insensitive to phase gauge shifts (for example as spinors if one set the charge q to zero) then we shall denote the covariant derivative as $D_\mu = \nabla_\mu$. In other words ∇_μ will be used to emphasize that covariant derivative does not depend on A_μ . For example $U(1)$ -gauge transformations have no effect on the spin frame e_a^ν ; accordingly, the covariant derivative of the frame is denoted by $\nabla_\mu e_a^\nu$.

For future notational convenience we can also set

$$\nabla_\mu \psi = \partial_\mu \psi + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_\mu^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \psi \quad (8)$$

so that one has $D_\mu \psi = \nabla_\mu \psi - iq A_\mu \psi$. However, this is an abuse of language, since $\nabla_\mu \psi$ does not transform properly under $U(1)$ -gauge transformations (unless $q = 0$). On the other hand, purely spacetime diffeomorphisms (with $U(1)$ -gauge transformations set to the identity) are not global transformations unless the bundle Q is trivial (and, in any case, they are gauge dependent).

Let us show that the Dirac matrices γ^a are left invariant by spin transformations. For, one has

$$D_\mu \gamma^a \equiv \nabla_\mu \gamma^a = \partial_\mu \gamma^a + \Gamma^a_{b\mu} \gamma^b + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_\mu^{cd} \gamma_{cd} \gamma^a - \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_\mu^{cd} \gamma^a \gamma_{cd} = \Gamma^a_{b\mu} \gamma^b - \Gamma_\mu^{ac} \gamma_c \equiv 0 \quad (9)$$

where we used the identity $[\gamma_{cd}, \gamma^a] = 4\delta_{[d}^a \gamma_{c]}$.

The Dirac equation is left invariant also by change of bases in the space of spinors, namely

$$\begin{cases} \psi' = P \cdot \psi \\ \gamma'^a = P \cdot \gamma^a P^{-1} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

for any constant invertible matrix P . If γ_a are Dirac matrices then γ'_a are Dirac matrices as well.

A *second order symmetry operator* for the Dirac equation is an operator of the form

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{E}^{ab} D_{ab} + \mathbb{F}^a D_a + \mathbb{G} \mathbb{I} \quad (11)$$

which commutes with the Dirac operator $\mathbb{D}$. Here $D_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (D_a D_b + D_b D_a)$ denotes the *symmetrized* second covariant derivative (expressed in the frame). The coefficients $\mathbb{E}^{ab}, \mathbb{F}^a, \mathbb{G}$ are matrix zero-order operators. By expanding the condition $[\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{D}] = 0$ one obtains

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}^{(ab} \gamma^{c)} - \gamma^{(c} \mathbb{E}^{ab)} = 0 \\ \mathbb{F}^{(a} \gamma^{b)} - \gamma^{(b} \mathbb{F}^{a)} = \gamma^c \nabla_c \mathbb{E}^{ab} - i(\mathbb{E}^{ab} \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V} \mathbb{E}^{ab}) \\ \mathbb{G} \gamma^a - \gamma^a \mathbb{G} = \gamma^c \nabla_c \mathbb{F}^a - i(\mathbb{F}^a \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V} \mathbb{F}^a) - \frac{1}{4} (\mathbb{E}^{ab} \gamma^c + \gamma^c \mathbb{E}^{ab}) \gamma_{ef} R^{ef}_{bc} + \frac{1}{3} (\mathbb{E}^{ef} \gamma^c - 2i\gamma^c \mathbb{E}^{ef}) R^a_{efc} + \\ \quad + iq (\mathbb{E}^{ab} \gamma^c + \gamma^c \mathbb{E}^{ab}) F_{bc} - 2i\mathbb{E}^{ab} \nabla_b \mathbf{V} \\ \gamma^c \nabla_c \mathbb{G} = i(\mathbb{G} \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V} \mathbb{G}) + \frac{1}{12} \nabla_a R^{ef}_{bc} (2\mathbb{E}^{ab} \gamma^c + \gamma^c \mathbb{E}^{ab}) \gamma_{ef} + \frac{1}{8} (\mathbb{F}^a \gamma^b + \gamma^b \mathbb{F}^a) \gamma_{ef} R^{ef}_{ab} + \\ \quad - \frac{iq}{3} (2\mathbb{E}^{ab} \gamma^c + \gamma^c \mathbb{E}^{ab}) \nabla_a F_{bc} - \frac{iq}{2} (\mathbb{F}^a \gamma^b + \gamma^b \mathbb{F}^a) F_{ab} + i\mathbb{E}^{ab} D_{ab} \mathbf{V} + i\mathbb{F}^a \nabla_a \mathbf{V}, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Where we have used the results of Appendix A. The conditions (12) do not depend on the dimension m or on the signature η . To obtain the form of the second order symmetry operator one has first to fix the dimension, use the Clifford identities, what is known from differential geometry about the curvature tensors, and solve these equations.

III. SECOND ORDER SYMMETRY OPERATORS IN DIMENSION 2

In dimension $m = 2$ the Clifford algebra is spanned by the elements $\mathbb{I}, \gamma_a, \gamma\gamma_0\gamma_1$. The Dirac matrices are linearly independent and so they provide a basis for 2×2 $\mathbb{C}$ -matrices. Thus any matrix can be written as a linear combination of these matrices. Thus we may write

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}^{ab} := e^{ab} \mathbb{I} + e_c^{ab} \gamma^c + \hat{e}^{ab} \gamma \\ \mathbb{F}^a := f^a \mathbb{I} + f_c^a \gamma^c + \hat{f}^a \gamma \\ \mathbb{G} := g \mathbb{I} + g_c \gamma^c + \hat{g} \gamma \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where the coefficients are tensors fields on the spin manifold. Also the matrix potential can be expanded in the basis as

$$\mathbf{V} = V \mathbb{I} + V_a \gamma^a + \hat{V} \gamma \quad (14)$$

The coefficient V is called a *scalar potential*, V_a a *vector potential* and $\hat{V}$ a *pseudopotential*. The vector potential contributes to the Dirac operator for a term formally analogous to the electromagnetic field. Thus we can set it to zero without loss of generality.

In other words if A_μ is a good potential for an electromagnetic field and V_a is a vector potential then one can define a new potential $q\tilde{A}_\mu = qA_\mu + e_\mu^a V_a$ (for a different electromagnetic field) and neglecting the vector potential. Accordingly, the vector potential can be neglected without loss in generality.

Moreover, in dimension $m = 2$ the Riemann tensor has the form

$$R^{ab}_{cd} = \frac{1}{2} R \epsilon^{ab} \epsilon_{cd} \quad (15)$$

where R is the scalar curvature and ϵ_{cd} denotes the Levi-Civita tensor. Similarly, the field strength of the electromagnetic field may be written

$$F_{cd} = F \epsilon_{cd} \quad (16)$$

for some function $F := F_{01}$.

Any product of Dirac matrices is a 2×2 matrix and can hence be expanded as a linear combination of $\mathbb{I}$, γ_a , γ . We collect in Appendix B a number of useful formulae for manipulate products of Dirac matrices.

The first equation of (12) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{(ab)}\gamma^c - \gamma^{(c}\mathbb{E}^{ab)} &= \gamma^d \gamma^{(c} e_d^{ab)} - e_d^{(ab} \gamma^c) \gamma^d + \gamma \gamma^{(c} \hat{e}^{ab)} - \hat{e}^{(ab} \gamma^c) \gamma = \\ &= -2e_d^{(ab} \epsilon^{c)d} \gamma - 2\eta \epsilon^{(c} \hat{e}^{ab)} \gamma^d = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Since γ^d and γ are independent, this implies

$$\begin{cases} e_d^{(ab} \epsilon^{c)d} = 0 \\ \hat{e}^{(ab} \epsilon^{c)d} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

The first condition in (18) implies

$$e_d^{ab} = 2\alpha^{(a} \delta_d^{b)} \quad (19)$$

where α^a is some vector. In fact, by expanding the first condition in (18) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} [e_d^{ab} \epsilon^{cd} + e_d^{bc} \epsilon^{ad} + e_d^{ca} \epsilon^{bd} = 0] (\cdot \epsilon_{cj}) \\ 3e_j^{ab} = 2e_d^{d(b} \delta_j^{a)} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

From which (19) follows by setting $\alpha^a = \frac{1}{3} e_d^{ad}$.

The second condition in (18) implies

$$\hat{e}^{ab} = 0 \quad (21)$$

In fact, by expanding the second condition in (18) one has

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{e}^{(ab} \epsilon^{c)d} = 0] (\cdot \epsilon_{dj}) &\Rightarrow \hat{e}^{(ab} \delta_j^{c)} = 0 \Rightarrow [\hat{e}^{ab} \delta_j^c + \hat{e}^{bc} \delta_j^a + \hat{e}^{ca} \delta_j^b = 0] (\cdot \delta_c^j) \\ &\Rightarrow 4\hat{e}^{ab} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Then, as a consequence of first equation of (12), we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{ab} = e^{ab} \mathbb{I} + 2\alpha^{(a} \gamma^{b)} \quad (23)$$

for some vector α^a . As expected, until this point the solution is completely unaffected by the electromagnetic field and the scalar potentials and reduces to the result found previously in [9].

Let us now focus on the second equation in (12). By expanding the left hand side one obtains

$$\mathbb{F}^{(a} \gamma^{b)} - \gamma^{(b} \mathbb{F}^{a)} = -2\eta f_c^{(a} \epsilon^{b)c} \gamma - 2\hat{f}^{(a} \epsilon^{b)} \hat{c} \gamma^c \quad (24)$$

Similarly the first term on the right hand side yields

$$\gamma^c \nabla_c \mathbb{E}^{ab} = \nabla_c e^{ab} \gamma^c + 2\nabla^{(a} \alpha^{b)} \mathbb{I} - 2\eta \nabla_c \alpha^{(a} \epsilon^{b)c} \gamma \quad (25)$$

while the second term gives

$$-i(\mathbb{E}^{ab} \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V} \mathbb{E}^{ab}) = -2i(\alpha^{(a} \gamma^{b)} \gamma - \alpha^{(a} \gamma \gamma^{b)}) \hat{V} = -4i\alpha^{(a} \epsilon^{b)c} \hat{V} \gamma_c \quad (26)$$

Thus the second equation in (12) is equivalent to the conditions

$$\begin{cases} \nabla^{(a} \alpha^{b)} = 0 \\ -2\hat{f}^{(a} \epsilon^{b)c} = \nabla^c e^{ab} - 4i\alpha^{(a} \epsilon^{b)c} \hat{V} \\ f_c^{(a} \epsilon^{b)c} = \nabla_c \alpha^{(a} \epsilon^{b)c} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \nabla^{(c} e^{ab)} = 0 \\ \hat{f}^a \delta_d^b + \hat{f}^b \delta_d^a = \epsilon_{cd} \nabla^c e^{ab} - 2i(\alpha^a \delta_d^b + \alpha^b \delta_d^a) \hat{V} \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

The first condition $\nabla^{(a}\alpha^{b)} = 0$ implies that the vector α^a is a Killing vector.

The second condition in (27) implies that e^{ab} is a Killing tensor. Moreover, by contracting by ϵ_{cb} one obtains

$$\hat{f}^a = \frac{1}{3}\epsilon_{cb}\nabla^c e^{ab} + 2i\alpha^a \hat{V} \quad (28)$$

which determines the coefficient $\hat{f}^a$ in terms of the coefficient e^{ab} .

The third condition in (27) may be expanded to yield

$$\begin{aligned} [f_c^a \epsilon^{bc} + f_c^b \epsilon^{ac} &= \nabla_c \alpha^a \epsilon^{bc} + \nabla_c \alpha^b \epsilon^{ac}] (\cdot \epsilon_{bd}) \\ 2f_d^a - f_b^b \delta_d^a &= 2\nabla_d \alpha^a - \nabla_c \alpha^c \delta_d^a \\ f_c^a &= \alpha \delta_c^a + \nabla_c \alpha^a \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where we set $\alpha := \frac{1}{2}f_b^b$.

Thus, as a consequence of first and second equations of (12), we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}^{ab} = e^{ab}\mathbb{I} + 2\alpha^{(a}\gamma^{b)} \\ \mathbb{F}^a := f^a\mathbb{I} + (\alpha\delta_c^a + \nabla_c \alpha^a)\gamma^c + \left(\frac{1}{3}\epsilon_{cb}\nabla^c e^{ab} + 2i\alpha^a \hat{V}\right)\gamma \end{cases} \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^{(c}e^{ab)} &= 0 \\ \nabla^{(a}\alpha^{b)} &= 0 \\ \alpha : M &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

When no electromagnetic field and potentials are present we recover the results of [9].

The third equation in (12) is equivalent to the conditions

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_a \alpha = \omega_a \\ 2g_c \epsilon^{ac} = (\nabla_c \alpha - \frac{1}{2}R\alpha_c) \epsilon^{ac} + 2i\eta e^{ab} \nabla_b \hat{V} \\ 2\hat{g} \epsilon^{ca} = \nabla^c f^a - \frac{1}{3}(\nabla_b \nabla^c e^{ab} - \nabla_b \nabla^b e^{ac}) + Re^{ac} - \frac{1}{2}R\eta^{ac} - 2iqF\epsilon_{cb}^c e^{ab} - 2i(\alpha^a \nabla^c V + \alpha^b \nabla_b V \eta^{ac}) + \\ + 2i(\nabla_b(\alpha^a \hat{V})\epsilon^{bc} - \alpha^a \nabla_b \hat{V} \epsilon^{bc} - \alpha^b \nabla_b \hat{V} \epsilon^{ac}) - 2i(\alpha \epsilon^{ac} + \nabla_b \alpha^a \epsilon^{bc}) \hat{V} \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

where we set $e := e^{ab}\eta_{ba}$ and

$$\omega_a := 2iqF\epsilon_{ab}\alpha^b + 2ie_a^{\cdot b} \nabla_b V \quad (32)$$

When electromagnetic field and potentials vanish then the first of these conditions reduces to $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ as previously found in [9].

In general, this condition can be written as $d\alpha = \omega_a e^a := 2i(qF\epsilon_{ab}\alpha^b + e_a^{\cdot b} \nabla_b V)e^a$ which has a (local) solution iff the integrability condition $d\omega = 0$ is satisfied. This integrability condition reads as

$$\nabla_{[d}\omega_{a]} = 2i \left[q\epsilon_{b[a} \nabla_{d]}(F\alpha^b) + \nabla_{[d}(e_{a]}^{\cdot b} \nabla_b V) \right] = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad q\alpha^b \nabla_b F = -\epsilon^{da} \nabla_d(e_a^{\cdot b} \nabla_b V) \quad (33)$$

This is a condition on the electromagnetic field and scalar potentials for the existence of second order symmetry operator. If α^b or e^{ab} vanish the integrability condition simplifies. We shall postpone the analysis of the integrability conditions until Section IV.

The second condition in (31) may be written as

$$g_a = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_a \alpha - \frac{1}{4}R\alpha_a - i\eta e^{cb} \nabla_b \hat{V} \epsilon_{ac} = iqF\epsilon_{ab}\alpha^b + ie_a^{\cdot b} \nabla_b V - \frac{1}{4}R\alpha_a - i\eta e^{cb} \nabla_b \hat{V} \epsilon_{ac} \quad (34)$$

which determines the coefficient g_a .

We split the third condition in (31) into symmetric and antisymmetric parts to obtain

$$\begin{cases} 0 = \nabla^{(c} f^{a)} - \frac{1}{3}(\nabla_b \nabla^{(c} e^{a)b} - \nabla_b \nabla^b e^{ac}) + Re^{ac} - \frac{1}{2}R\eta^{ac} - 2iqF\epsilon_{cb}^c e^{ab} - 2i(\alpha^a \nabla^c V + \alpha^b \nabla_b V \eta^{ac}) \\ 4\hat{g} = \nabla^c f^a \epsilon_{ca} - \frac{1}{3}\nabla_b \nabla^c e^{ab} \epsilon_{ca} - 2iqF\epsilon_{cb}^c e^{ab} \epsilon_{ca} - 2i\alpha^a \nabla^c V \epsilon_{ca} + 4i\alpha^b \nabla_b \hat{V} + 4i\alpha \hat{V} \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

By using identity (102) in the second of these conditions, one finds that

$$\hat{g} = \frac{1}{4}(\nabla^c \zeta^a - 2iqF\epsilon_{cb}^c e^{ab} - 2i\alpha^a \nabla^c V)\epsilon_{ca} + i\alpha^a \nabla_a \hat{V} + i\alpha \hat{V} \quad (36)$$

where we set $\zeta^a := f^a - \nabla_b e^{ab}$. The first condition in (35) can be recasted as

$$\nabla^{(c} \zeta^{a)} = 2iqF\epsilon_{cb}^c e^{ab} + 2i(\alpha^a \nabla^c V + \alpha^b \nabla_b V \eta^{ac}) =: \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^{ca} \quad (37)$$

Notice that $\Lambda := \Lambda^{ca}\eta_{ca} = 4iqF\epsilon_{ab}e^{ab} + 12i\alpha^a\nabla_a V = 12i\alpha^a\nabla_a V$.

When there is no electromagnetic field or potentials, the equation (37) reduces to $\nabla^{(c}\zeta^{a)} = 0$ which implies that ζ is a Killing vector, as found in [9]. In this more general situation we need to discuss whether it is possible to solve (37) for ζ^a .

We now consider this issue in greater detail. First we notice that (37) can be recast in the form $\mathcal{L}_\zeta g_{\alpha\beta} = \Lambda_{\alpha\beta}$ and that in general one has

$$\mathcal{L}_\zeta\{g\}_{\beta\mu}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\lambda}(-\nabla_\lambda\mathcal{L}_\zeta g_{\beta\mu} + \nabla_\beta\mathcal{L}_\zeta g_{\mu\lambda} + \nabla_\mu\mathcal{L}_\zeta g_{\lambda\beta}) = \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\lambda}(-\nabla_\lambda\Lambda_{\beta\mu} + \nabla_\beta\Lambda_{\mu\lambda} + \nabla_\mu\Lambda_{\lambda\beta}) \quad (38)$$

where $\{g\}_{\beta\mu}^\alpha$ denote the Christoffel symbols of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\zeta R^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu\mathcal{L}_\zeta\{g\}_{\beta\nu}^\alpha - \nabla_\nu\mathcal{L}_\zeta\{g\}_{\beta\mu}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\zeta R\epsilon_{\mu\nu}e^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \frac{R}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu}e^{\alpha\lambda}\mathcal{L}_\zeta g_{\lambda\beta} \quad (39)$$

from which one obtains

$$\mathcal{L}_\zeta R = \nabla_c\nabla^b\Lambda_d{}^a\epsilon^{cd}\epsilon_{ab} - \frac{R}{2}\Lambda \quad (40)$$

Since R is a scalar, so that $\mathcal{L}_\zeta R = \zeta^a\nabla_a R$, and under the assumption that (37) has a solution then it follows that

$$\zeta^a\nabla_a R = \nabla_c(\nabla^b\Lambda_d{}^a)\epsilon^{cd}\epsilon_{ab} - \frac{R}{2}\Lambda \quad (41)$$

which is an integrability condition for equation (37). For example, on constant curvature spaces one finds that

$$\nabla_c(\nabla^b\Lambda_d{}^a)\epsilon^{cd}\epsilon_{ab} = \frac{R}{2}\Lambda \quad (42)$$

is a necessary condition for (37) to have a solution. We shall continue the study of these integrability conditions in Section VI.

We now summarize what we have found. As a consequence of first, second and third equations of (12), we have that

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}^{ab} = e^{ab}\mathbb{I} + 2\alpha^{(a}\gamma^{b)} \\ \mathbb{F}^a := (\zeta^a + \nabla_b e^{ab})\mathbb{I} + (\alpha\delta_c^a + \nabla_c\alpha^a)\gamma^c + \left(\frac{1}{3}\epsilon_{cb}\nabla^c e^{ab} + 2i\alpha^a\hat{V}\right)\gamma \\ \mathbb{G} := g\mathbb{I} + \left(iqF\epsilon_{ab}\alpha^b + ie_a{}^b\nabla_b V - \frac{1}{4}R\alpha_a + i\eta e^{cb}\nabla_b\hat{V}\epsilon_{ac}\right)\gamma^a + \\ \quad + \left(\frac{1}{4}(\nabla^c\zeta^a - 2iqF\epsilon^c{}_b e^{ab} - 2i\alpha^a\nabla^c V)\epsilon_{ca} + i\alpha^a\nabla_a\hat{V} + i\alpha\hat{V}\right)\gamma \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

where the coefficients satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^{(c}e^{ab)} &= 0 & \nabla_a\alpha &= \omega_a \\ \nabla^{(a}\alpha^{b)} &= 0 & \nabla^{(c}\zeta^{a)} &= \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^{ca} \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda^{ca} = 4i(qF\epsilon^c{}_b e^{ab} + \alpha^{(a}\nabla^{c)}V + \alpha^b\nabla_b V\eta^{ac}) \\ \omega_a = 2i(qF\epsilon_{ab}\alpha^b + e_a{}^b\nabla_b V) \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

and the following integrability conditions are satisfied

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_{[a}\omega_{b]} = 0 & \Rightarrow q\alpha^b\nabla_b F = -\epsilon^{da}\nabla_d(e_a{}^b\nabla_b V) \\ \zeta^a\nabla_a R = \nabla_c(\nabla^b\Lambda^{da})\epsilon^c{}_d\epsilon_{ab} - \frac{R}{2}\Lambda \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

Finally we consider the fourth and last equation in (12). It is equivalent to the conditions

$$\begin{cases} \zeta^a\nabla_a V = -\eta\left(\frac{2}{3}\nabla_a e^{bc}\nabla_b\hat{V}\epsilon^a{}_c + e^{bc}\nabla_{ac}\hat{V}\epsilon^a{}_b - i\alpha^a\nabla_a(\hat{V})^2\right) \\ \zeta^a\nabla_a\hat{V} = \frac{2}{3}\epsilon_{cb}\nabla^c e^{ab}\nabla_a V + \epsilon^c{}_b e^{ab}\nabla_{ca}V + \nabla_c(e^{cb}\nabla_b\hat{V}) - 2i\alpha^a\nabla_a\hat{V} \\ \nabla_c g' = iqF\epsilon_{ca}\zeta^a - \frac{1}{4}\nabla_a(Re^a{}_c) - i\omega_c V + i\alpha^a\nabla_a\hat{V}\epsilon^a{}_c - \frac{i}{2}R\alpha_a\hat{V}\epsilon^a{}_c + \eta e_c{}^b\nabla_b(\hat{V})^2 =: \Lambda_c \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

where we set $g' := g - 3i\alpha^a\nabla_a V - i\alpha V$.

Thus (11) is a second order symmetry operator iff

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{F}^{ab} = e^{ab}\mathbb{I} + 2\alpha^{(a}\gamma^{b)} \\ \mathbb{F}^a := (\zeta^a + \nabla_b e^{ab})\mathbb{I} + (\alpha\delta_c^a + \nabla_c \alpha^a)\gamma^c + \left(\frac{1}{3}\epsilon_{cb}\nabla^c e^{ab} + 2i\alpha^a \hat{V}\right)\gamma \\ \mathbb{G} := (g' + 3i\alpha^a \nabla_a V + i\alpha V)\mathbb{I} + \left(iqF\epsilon_{ab}\alpha^b + ie_a^{\cdot b}\nabla_b V - \frac{1}{4}R\alpha_a - i\eta e^{cb}\nabla_b \hat{V}\epsilon_{ac}\right)\gamma^a + \\ \quad + \left(\frac{1}{4}(\nabla^c \zeta^a - 2iqF\epsilon_c^{\cdot b}e^{ab} - 2i\alpha^a \nabla^c V)\epsilon_{ca} + i\alpha^a \nabla_a \hat{V} + i\alpha \hat{V}\right)\gamma \end{cases} \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^{(c}e^{ab)} &= 0 \\ \nabla^{(a}\alpha^{b)} &= 0 \\ \nabla_a \alpha &= \omega_a \\ \nabla^{(c}\zeta^a) &= \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^{ca} \\ \nabla_a g' &= \Lambda_a \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where we set

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda^{ca} = 4i \left(qF\epsilon_c^{\cdot b}e^{ab} + \alpha^{(a}\nabla^{c)}V + \alpha^b \nabla_b V \eta^{ac} \right) \\ \Lambda_c = iqF\epsilon_{ca}\zeta^a - \frac{1}{4}\nabla_a (R\epsilon_c^{\cdot a}) - i\omega_c V + i\alpha^a \nabla_{ab}\hat{V}\epsilon_c^{\cdot b} - \frac{i}{2}R\alpha_a \hat{V}\epsilon_c^{\cdot a} + \eta e_c^{\cdot b}\nabla_b (\hat{V})^2 \\ \omega_c = 2i(qF\epsilon_{cd}\alpha^d + e_c^{\cdot d}\nabla_d V) \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

and the following integrability conditions are satisfied

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon^{bc}\nabla_b \omega_c = 0 & \Rightarrow q\alpha^c \nabla_c F = -\epsilon^{ac}\nabla_c (e_a^{\cdot b}\nabla_b V) \\ \epsilon^{dc}\nabla_d \Lambda_c = 0 \\ \zeta^a \nabla_a R = \nabla_c (\nabla^b \Lambda^{da}) \epsilon_c^{\cdot d} \epsilon_{ab} - \frac{R}{2}\Lambda \\ \zeta^a \nabla_a V = -\eta \left(\frac{2}{3}\nabla_a e^{bc}\nabla_c \hat{V}\epsilon_a^{\cdot b} + e^{bc}\nabla_{ac}\hat{V}\epsilon_a^{\cdot b} - i\alpha^a \nabla_a (\hat{V})^2 \right) \\ \zeta^a \nabla_a \hat{V} = \frac{2}{3}\epsilon_{cb}\nabla^c e^{ab}\nabla_a V + \epsilon_c^{\cdot b} e^{ab}\nabla_{ca} V - 2i\alpha^a \nabla_a V \hat{V} \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

IV. FIRST ORDER OPERATORS

Before discussing the existence of second order symmetry operators, we discuss first order (and zero order) symmetry operators. First order symmetry operators are obtained by setting above $\alpha^a = 0$ and $e^{ab} = 0$. For first order operators $\mathbb{K}^{(1)}$ one obtains

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{F}^a := \xi^a \mathbb{I} + a\gamma^a \\ \mathbb{G} := (\omega + iaV)\mathbb{I} + \left(\frac{1}{4}\nabla^c \xi^a \epsilon_{ca} + ia\hat{V}\right)\gamma \end{cases} \quad \begin{aligned} a &\in \mathbb{C} \\ \nabla^{(c}\xi^{a)} &= 0 \\ \nabla_a \omega &= \Lambda_a \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where we set $\Lambda_c := iqF\epsilon_{cb}\xi^b$ and we have the following integrability conditions

$$\begin{cases} \xi^a \nabla_a R = 0 & \Leftarrow \nabla^{(c}\xi^{a)} = 0 \\ \epsilon^{ac}\nabla_a \Lambda_c = 0 & \Longleftrightarrow \xi^a \nabla_a F = 0 \\ \xi^a \nabla_a V = 0 \\ \xi^a \nabla_a \hat{V} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

For zero order operators one obtains $\mathbb{K}^{(0)} := k\mathbb{I}$, with $k \in \mathbb{C}$, and no integrability conditions.

Among first order operators one has the product of a zero order operator with the Dirac operator, i.e. $\mathbb{H}^{(1)} := k\mathbb{D} = ik\gamma^a \nabla_a - k\mathbf{V} = ik\gamma^a \nabla_a - kV - k\hat{V}\gamma$, which are trivially symmetry operators and they correspond to the choice $\mathbb{F}^a = ik\gamma^a$, $\mathbb{G} = -kV\mathbb{I} - k\hat{V}\gamma$, i.e. to the choice $\xi^a = 0$, $a = ik$, $\omega = 0$.

Non-trivial first order symmetry operators then have the form

$$\mathbb{K}^{(1)} = (\xi^a \nabla_a + \omega)\mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{4}\nabla^c \xi^a \epsilon_{ca}\gamma \quad (53)$$

where ξ^a is a (non-zero) Killing vector, ω is a function such that $\nabla_c \omega = -iqF\epsilon_{ac}\xi^a$ and the following integrability conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{cases} \xi^b \nabla_b F = 0 \\ \xi^a \nabla_a V = 0 \\ \xi^a \nabla_a \hat{V} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (54)$$

since, in view of (40), the condition $\xi^a \nabla_a R = 0$ is a consequence of $\nabla^{(c} \xi^{a)} = 0$. The integrability conditions select the electromagnetic field and the scalar potentials which are compatible with existence of non-trivial first order symmetry operators. The function ω satisfying $\nabla_c \omega = iqF\epsilon_{ca}\xi^a$ always exists (in view of $\xi^b \nabla_b F = 0$) and it is defined modulo a constant which corresponds to a zero order symmetry operator $\mathbb{K}^{(0)}$.

Thus one has the following:

Theorem: The existence of a non-trivial first order symmetry operator $\mathbb{K}^{(1)}$ implies that M admits a non-zero Killing vector ξ^a .

Analogously, for any first order symmetry operator $\hat{\mathbb{K}}^{(1)}$ one can define a trivial second order symmetry operator $\mathbb{H}^{(2)} = \hat{\mathbb{K}}^{(1)} \mathbb{D}$. This is in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}^{(2)} &= \left((\xi^a \mathbb{I} + a\gamma^a) \nabla_a + ((\omega - iaV) \mathbb{I} + \left(\frac{1}{4} \nabla^c \xi^a \epsilon_{ca} + ia\hat{V} \right) \gamma) \right) \left(i\gamma^b \nabla_b - V \mathbb{I} - \hat{V} \gamma \right) = \\ &= i(a\eta^{ab} + \xi^a \gamma^b) \nabla_{ab} + \left((-\xi^a V) \mathbb{I} + ((i\omega - 2aV) \delta_c^a + \frac{i}{2} \nabla_c \xi^a) \gamma^c - (\xi^a \hat{V}) \gamma \right) \nabla_a + \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{ia}{4} R + \frac{1}{4} \eta \nabla^k \xi^a \epsilon_{ka} \hat{V} - \omega V - iaV^2 + i\eta a \hat{V}^2 \right) \mathbb{I} + \left(\frac{q}{2} \xi^a F \epsilon_{ak} - \frac{i}{8} R \xi_k - a \nabla_k V - a \nabla_b \hat{V} \epsilon^b_{\cdot k} \right) \gamma^k + \\ &\quad + (\eta a q F - \frac{1}{4} \nabla^c \xi^a \epsilon_{ca} V - \omega \hat{V} - 2iaV \hat{V}) \gamma \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

This operator corresponds to specifying a general second order operator (48) by setting $e^{ab} = ia\eta^{ab}$, $\alpha^a = \frac{i}{2} \xi^a$, $\zeta^a = -V \xi^a$, $\alpha = i\omega - 2aV$, $g' = -\frac{ia}{4} R + iaV^2 + i\eta a \hat{V}^2 - \frac{1}{4} \eta \nabla^k \xi^a \epsilon_{ka} \hat{V}$.

Theorem: The existence of a non-trivial second order symmetry operator implies that M admits a Killing tensor $e^{ab} \neq \lambda \eta^{ab}$.

V. NON-TRIVIAL SECOND ORDER SYMMETRY OPERATORS

A non-trivial second order symmetry operator has the form:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}^{ab} = e^{ab} \mathbb{I} & \nabla^{(c} e^{ab)} = 0 \\ \mathbb{F}^a := (\zeta^a + \nabla_b e^{ab}) \mathbb{I} + \alpha \gamma^a + \left(\frac{1}{3} \epsilon_{cb} \nabla^c e^{ab} \right) \gamma & \nabla_a \alpha = \omega_a \\ \mathbb{G} := (g' + i\alpha V) \mathbb{I} + \left(i e^a_{\cdot b} \nabla_b V - i \eta e^{cb} \nabla_b \hat{V} \epsilon_{ac} \right) \gamma^a + & \nabla^{(c} \zeta^{a)} = \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{ca} \\ \quad + \left(\frac{1}{4} (\nabla^c \zeta^a - 2iqF \epsilon^c_{\cdot b} e^{ab}) \epsilon_{ca} + i\alpha \hat{V} \right) \gamma & \nabla_a g' = \Lambda_a \end{cases} \quad (56)$$

where we set

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda^{ca} = 4iqF \epsilon^{(c}_{\cdot b} e^{a)b} \quad (\Rightarrow \Lambda = 0) \\ \Lambda_c = iqF \epsilon_{ca} \zeta^a - \frac{1}{4} \nabla_a (R e^a_{\cdot c}) + e_c^{\cdot d} \nabla_d (V^2 + \eta \hat{V}^2) \\ \omega_c = 2ie_c^{\cdot d} \nabla_d V \end{cases} \quad (57)$$

and where the following integrability conditions are satisfied

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon^{bc} \nabla_b \omega_c = 0 & \Rightarrow \epsilon^{ac} \nabla_c (e^a_{\cdot b} \nabla_b V) = 0 \\ \epsilon^{dc} \nabla_d \Lambda_c = 0 & \Rightarrow iq \zeta^a \nabla_a F = 2\epsilon^{dc} e_c^{\cdot e} \nabla_e V \nabla_d V - \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{dc} \nabla_d \nabla_a (R e^a_{\cdot c}) + \eta \epsilon^{dc} \nabla_d (e_c^{\cdot b} \nabla_b (\hat{V})^2) \\ \zeta^a \nabla_a R = \nabla_c (\nabla^b \Lambda^{da}) \epsilon^c_{\cdot d} \epsilon_{ab} & \\ \zeta^a \nabla_a V = -\eta \left(\frac{2}{3} \nabla_a e^{bc} \nabla_c \hat{V} \epsilon^a_{\cdot b} + e^{bc} \nabla_{ac} \hat{V} \epsilon^a_{\cdot b} \right) = -\eta (\epsilon^a_{\cdot b} \nabla_a (e^{bc} \nabla_c \hat{V}) - \frac{1}{3} \epsilon^a_{\cdot b} \nabla_a e^{bc} \nabla_c \hat{V}) & \\ \zeta^a \nabla_a \hat{V} = \frac{2}{3} \epsilon_{cb} \nabla^c e^{ab} \nabla_a V + \epsilon^c_{\cdot b} e^{ab} \nabla_{ca} V = \epsilon_{cb} \nabla^c (e^{ab} \nabla_a V) - \frac{1}{3} \epsilon_{cb} \nabla^c e^{ab} \nabla_a V = -\frac{1}{3} \epsilon_{cb} \nabla^c e^{ab} \nabla_a V & \end{cases} \quad (58)$$

We may rewrite the right-hand set of equations (56) in configuration coordinates as

$$\nabla^{(\mu} e^{\beta\gamma)} = 0, \quad (59)$$

$$\nabla_\mu \alpha = 2ie_\mu^\beta \nabla_\beta V, \quad (60)$$

$$\nabla^{(\mu} \zeta^{\beta)} = 2iqF^{(\mu}_{\cdot \gamma} e^{\beta)\gamma}, \quad (61)$$

$$\nabla_\mu g' = iqF_{\mu\beta} \zeta^\beta + e_\mu^\beta \nabla_\beta (V^2 + \eta \hat{V}^2) - \frac{1}{4} \nabla_\beta (R e_\mu^\beta), \quad (62)$$

Some of these equations coincide with the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a constant of motion K quadratic in the momenta of the gauge invariant Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}(p_\mu - iqA_\mu)(p_\nu - iqA_\nu) + U. \quad (63)$$

If we assume that K has the gauge invariant form

$$K = \frac{1}{2}k^{\mu\nu}(p_\mu - iqA_\mu)(p_\nu - iqA_\nu) + B^\mu(p_\mu - iqA_\mu) + W, \quad (64)$$

we obtain

Proposition 1. $\{H, K\} = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\nabla_{(\mu}k_{\nu)\sigma} = 0 \quad (65)$$

$$\nabla_{(\mu}B_{\nu)} = 2iqF_{(\mu}{}^\sigma k_{\nu)\sigma} \quad (66)$$

$$\nabla_\mu W = iqF_{\mu\sigma}B^\sigma - 2k_\mu{}^\sigma \nabla_\sigma U \quad (67)$$

$$B^\mu \nabla_\mu U = 0. \quad (68)$$

A similar form of the first integral is given by Carter [10], who considered the Hamiltonian for the charged particle orbit when $U = 0$ in (63).

We observe that if we set $k^{\mu\nu} = e^{\mu\nu}$ and $B^\mu = \zeta^\mu$ in (63) and (64) then (65) and (66) agree with (59) and (61). On the other hand, if $F_{\mu\nu}$ is equal to zero, $B^\mu = \zeta^\mu$ and $U = \frac{\alpha}{2i}$ then again (65) corresponds to (59), (66) to (61) and (67) to (60).

An important issue, not strictly related with separation of variables, is to know if a second-order symmetry operator is or is not reducible to the first-order ones, i.e. a linear combinations with constant coefficients of products of the latter. The reducibility happens for example for the Dirac equation on the Minkowski four-dimensional space without external fields, where all second-order symmetry operators of the Dirac equation are reducible [25]. An obvious necessary condition for the reducibility is the existence of non-trivial first-order symmetry operators of the Dirac equation. They are characterized by the existence of a Killing vector ξ such that (54) hold and are of the form (53). We know that the non-trivial second-order symmetry operators of the Dirac equation have the pure second-order term

$$\mathbb{E} = e^{ab}\nabla_{ab},$$

where e^{ab} are the components of a Killing tensor. The pure second-order term arising from the symmetrized product of two first-order symmetry operators is

$$\frac{1}{2}(\xi_r^a \xi_s^b + \xi_s^a \xi_r^b)\nabla_{ab},$$

where ξ_r and ξ_s are Killing vectors. Therefore, in order to be reducible, the components of a second-order symmetry operator must be the components of a reducible Killing two-tensor. Consequently we have

Proposition 2. *A second-order symmetry operator is reducible to a linear combination of products of first-order symmetry operators only if there exist Killing vectors ξ such that*

$$e^{ab} = \sum_{r,s}(\xi_r^a \xi_s^b + \xi_s^a \xi_r^b),$$

with the additional conditions (54), in particular, only if the killing tensor e itself is reducible.

We recall that in constant-curvature manifolds all Killing tensors are reducible. In other manifolds, for example the skew-ellipsoid, there might exist non-reducible Killing two-tensors.

VI. NON-TRIVIAL SECOND-ORDER SYMMETRY OPERATORS IN LIOUVILLE COORDINATES

Our aim is now to obtain the coefficients of the non-trivial second-order symmetry operator (13) on spin manifolds which admit solutions of the Killing tensor equation (59). It is well known that in two-dimension there exists a system of canonical coordinates, called Liouville coordinates in which the non-zero covariant components of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the Killing tensor $e_{\mu\nu}$ have the form

$$g_{11} = A(x) + B(y), \quad g_{00} = \eta g_{11},$$

$$e_{00} = -g_{00}B(y), \quad e_{11} = g_{11}A(x),$$

where A and B are arbitrary smooth functions. Liouville coordinates are separable coordinates for the Hamilton-Jacobi, Helmholtz and Schrödinger equations. We now proceed to solve the equations (58)-(62) for the remaining coefficient functions α , ζ^b and g' . The first equation of (58) is equivalent to $d(e dV) = 0$ which implies that V has the form (Appendix D)

$$V = g^{00}v_0(x) + g^{11}v_1(y),$$

where $v_0(x)$ and $v_1(y)$ are arbitrary smooth functions. Thus, the solution of (60) is

$$\alpha = 2iq \left(e^{00}v_0(x) + e^{11}v_1(y) \right).$$

We now turn our attention to (61), where we set $A = 0$ anticipating the separation results exposed in Section VII. By solving the PDE system (61) we obtain the following solution for ζ^1 ,

$$\zeta^1 = -\frac{2f'_1(x)}{\sqrt{B(y)}},$$

where $f_1(x)$ is an arbitrary function of integration. Substituting this solution in (61) and integrating the resulting system yields the solution for ζ^0

$$\zeta^0 = f_2(y) - \frac{1}{2}f_1(x) \left(B^{-1/2} \right)',$$

where $f_2(y)$ is another arbitrary function and we use the integrability condition

$$qF_{01} = iB^{-5/2} \left(B^2 f_1'' - \frac{3}{4}\eta \left(B^{5/2} f_2' - f_1(B')^2 + \frac{2}{3}Bf_1B'' \right) \right).$$

From the third equation of (58) we obtain $f_1 = c_1$. By substituting this value into the fifth of (58) we get

$$f_2 = -\frac{1}{2\eta}B^{5/2}B'(2\eta c_1 + \sqrt{\eta B}).$$

Therefore, the expression of F_{01} becomes

$$qF_{01} = \frac{i}{4\sqrt{\eta}} \left(\frac{B''B - B'}{B^2} \right) = \frac{iB}{4\sqrt{\eta}}R.$$

By taking in account these results, the second and the fourth equations of (58) gives the partial derivatives of $\hat{V}$, then, from the integrability condition we obtain $v_0 = 0$ and, by integrating,

$$\hat{V} = \frac{\sqrt{B^9\eta^5 - c_2}}{\sqrt{B}}.$$

Similarly, from (62) we can now integrate g' as

$$g' = c_3 - \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{B'}{B} \right)^2.$$

We observe that, after all the assumptions made above, $\alpha = \zeta^1 = 0$ and $V = g^{11}v_1(y)$. All the conditions of existence for non-trivial second-order symmetry operators are now fulfilled. However, we remark that the symmetry operator obtained in this way is not the most general one, for example, other solutions can be obtained by imposing conditions on B . Moreover, we see in Section VII how to build symmetry operators with zero force field F and unrestricted function $B(y)$ associated with separation of variables.

VII. SEPARATION OF VARIABLES

Following [27, 34] we assume that a m -component spinor ψ depending on n variables q^i is, locally, multiplicatively separated if

$$\psi = \prod_{i=1}^n \phi_i(q^i) \xi$$

where $\phi_i(q^i)$ are n diagonal invertible matrices of order $m \times m$ and ξ a constant m spinor. The separation is complete, or regular, if the spinor ψ depends on nm constants c_i^j such that

$$\det \frac{\partial(\phi^{-1} \partial_i \psi_j)}{\partial c_i^j} \neq 0,$$

where $\phi = \prod_{i=1}^n \phi_i(q^i)$.

This definition is mutated from the definition of complete separability for Hamilton-Jacobi and Schrödinger equations and essentially means that a biunivocal correspondence exists between the family of the ψ , parametrized by (c_i^j) , and the parameters themselves.

In our case, $n = m = 2$ and the independent parameters must be four. We assume $q^0 = x, q^1 = y$ (from now on our coordinates indices run from 0 to 1) and we write a separated spinor as

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(x)b_1(y) \\ a_2(x)b_2(y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The completeness condition becomes then

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial(d \ln(a_j)/dx)}{\partial c_i^j}, \frac{\partial(d \ln(b_j)/dy)}{\partial c_i^j} \right) \neq 0. \quad (69)$$

In [22] and [9] it has been proved that second-order symmetry operators of the Dirac equation in two dimensional Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian manifolds are strictly associated with second-order Killing tensors. Characteristic Killing tensors (they are KT's with all distinct eigenvalues and orthogonally integrable eigenforms) are associated with orthogonal separable coordinates for the Helmholtz equation. It is known that orthogonal separability of Dirac equation is possible only in Helmholtz-separable orthogonal coordinate systems. Given a second-order symmetry operator, is then natural to work in the separable coordinates determined by the Killing tensor associated to the symmetry operator, provided it is a characteristic one. Any symmetric Killing two-tensor is characteristic in Riemannian manifolds of dimension two, provided the Killing tensor is not proportional to the metric tensor. In two-dimensional Lorentzian manifolds there exist Killing 2-tensors not proportional to the metric but not characteristic. We assume here that the Killing tensor associated with the symmetry operator of the Dirac equation is characteristic. We remark that the requirement of reality often made about the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Killing tensor is not assumed here. In [19] and [9] it is shown that the separation of variables in the complex case can be handled essentially in the same way as in the real case. In dimension two, if the Killing tensor is characteristic and if the Robertson condition is satisfied (i.e. the Ricci tensor is diagonalizable simultaneously with K or, equivalently, the Killing tensor and the Ricci tensor commute as endomorphisms on the tangent bundle) then the Laplace-Beltrami operator is completely separable in those orthogonal coordinate systems that diagonalize K and the differential operator $\nabla_\mu(K^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\nu)$ commutes with it (see [4, 5] and references therein for separation of Helmholtz and Schrödinger equations on n -dimensional Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian manifolds). When the separation of variables is associated with first-order symmetry operators [27] we can again relate the separation of variables to second-order symmetry operators, since the square of a first-order symmetry operator is a second-order one. It follows that separation of variables of the Dirac equation, at least in dimension two, can always be understood by means of second-order symmetry operators, as is the case for the separation of the Helmholtz or Schrödinger equation.

We remark that in Liouville manifolds the Ricci tensor is always diagonalized in the separable coordinates, therefore the Robertson condition always holds. Hence,

Proposition 3. *The existence of a characteristic Killing 2-tensor K on a Liouville manifold, implies the complete (or regular) separation of the Helmholtz equation in the coordinates associated with K .*

A detailed discussion of complete, regular, non-regular and constrained separation is given in [4, 14, 27, 34]. For example, in the case of complete or regular separability, the orthogonal separable coordinates for the geodesic

Hamilton-Jacobi or Schrödinger equations coincide with the Stäckel systems, Killing tensors determine the separable coordinates and in $\mathbb{E}^3$ they are the familiar Cartesian, spherical, cylindrical etc coordinates, i.e. confocal quadrics. When the separation is no longer complete, the type of separable orthogonal systems changes considerably. For example, in $\mathbb{E}^3$ with energy fixed equal to zero, the geodesic Schrödinger equation becomes the Laplace equation, conformal Killing tensors determine the separable coordinates and these include toroidal, six-spheres, prolate spheroidal coordinates etc., i.e. confocal cyclids, that are fourth-degree surfaces [13].

In the following we choose the Dirac representation of the Clifford algebra, namely

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -k \\ k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \gamma_0 \gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\eta k \\ -\eta k & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The most general covariant potential that can be present in the Dirac equation is a combination of an electro-magnetic term $\gamma^\mu A_\mu$, a scalar term $V\mathbb{I}$ and a pseudoscalar term $\hat{V}\gamma$, see for example [35] and references therein, responsible for nuclear and other type of fermion interactions. By using the same notation of [9], the Dirac equation becomes, where we use the form (e_a^μ) of the spin-frame components,

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} ie_0^0 & -ike_1^0 \\ ike_1^0 & -ie_0^0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_x + \begin{pmatrix} ie_0^1 & -ike_1^1 \\ ike_1^1 & ie_0^1 \end{pmatrix} \partial_y + \tilde{C} \right] \psi = \mu \psi, \quad (70)$$

where $k = i$ for Riemannian and $k = 1$ for Lorentzian metrics, i.e. $k = \sqrt{-\eta}$, and

$$\tilde{C} = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{ab} e_a^\mu \Gamma_\mu^{01} \gamma_b - q e_a^\mu A_\mu \gamma^a - V\mathbb{I} - \hat{V}\gamma, \quad (71)$$

In [9, 22, 26] the multiplicative separation scheme D5 for the general system of first-order partial differential equations of eigenvalue type in two dimensions

$$\mathbb{D}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_2(x) \\ X_3(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_x + \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(y) & 0 \\ 0 & Y_4(y) \end{pmatrix} \partial_y + \begin{pmatrix} C_1(y) & C_2(x) \\ C_3(x) & C_4(y) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (72)$$

has been identified as the only separation scheme for the Dirac equation in dimension two uniquely associated with the existence of non-reducible second-order symmetry operators. More precisely, the Dirac equation in dimension two $\mathbb{D}$ is multiplicatively separable in coordinates (x, y) iff there exist non-null functions R_i such that

$$\mathbb{D} = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & 0 \\ 0 & R_2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{D}_5. \quad (73)$$

If we assume that the two-spinor solution of the Dirac equation is multiplicatively separated,

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(x)b_1(y) \\ a_2(x)b_2(y) \end{pmatrix},$$

then the separated equations are

$$\begin{cases} (X_3 \partial_x + C_3) a_1 = \nu_2 a_2 \\ (X_2 \partial_x + C_2) a_2 = \nu_1 a_1 \\ (Y_1 \partial_y + C_1 - \mu) b_1 = -\nu_1 b_2 \\ (Y_4 \partial_y + C_4 - \mu) b_2 = -\nu_2 b_1, \end{cases} \quad (74)$$

From [26] we know that the symmetry operator $\mathbb{K}$ associated with the separation scheme D5 is given by the decoupling equations

$$\begin{cases} (X_2 \partial_x + C_2)(X_3 \partial_x + C_3) a_1 = \nu a_1, \\ (X_3 \partial_x + C_3)(X_2 \partial_x + C_2) a_2 = \nu a_2, \end{cases} \quad (75)$$

where $\nu = \nu_1 \nu_2$, the product of the separation constants, is the eigenvalue of the symmetry operator. The remaining decoupling relations

$$\begin{cases} (Y_4 \partial_y + C_4 - \mu)(Y_1 \partial_y + C_1 - \mu) b_1 = \nu b_1 \\ (Y_1 \partial_y + C_1 - \mu)(Y_4 \partial_y + C_4 - \mu) b_2 = \nu b_2, \end{cases} \quad (76)$$

do not define symmetry operators. Since we are interested in the spinor's components ψ_1, ψ_2 and not on the single factors a_i, b_i , the only conditions to be satisfied by the solutions of the decoupled equations are not the separated equations but

$$\begin{cases} \nu a_1 b_1 = -(X_2 a'_2 + C_2 a_2)(Y_4 b'_2 + C_4 b_2 - \mu b_2), \\ \nu a_2 b_2 = -(X_3 a'_1 + C_3 a_1)(Y_1 b'_1 + C_1 b_1 - \mu b_1), \end{cases} \quad (77)$$

obtained by multiplying together suitable pairs of the separated equations. It is then evident that the spinor, solution of the Dirac equation, will depend on the parameters μ and ν , that are the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator and of its symmetry operator, and not on the ν_i introduced in the separation procedure, where the primes denote derivatives with respect to the arguments.

We observe that the four decoupled equations (75) and (76) in the four unknown $(a_i), (b_i)$ require, being all second-order differential equations in normal form, a total of eight arbitrary constants in order to be completely integrable (complete or regular separation). Moreover, the two equations (77) are, due to the separation of variables, equivalent to four first-order equations in $(a_i), (b_i)$, so that four of the constants in the solutions are determined by them. The remaining parameters are μ, ν and two integration constants.

As in [26], we do not consider here the case $\mu = 0$ in full generality. This means that, when $\mu = 0$, the conditions of separability given here are sufficient but not necessary, as it is the case for the Hamilton-Jacobi or Schrödinger equations with zero energy. From [26] we have $R_1(y), R_2(y)$, that we can assume real without restrictions (the dual possibility $R_1(x), R_2(x)$ is equivalent after the exchange $x \leftrightarrow y$). By comparing (70) and (72) it follows that

$$e_0^0 = e_1^1 = 0, \quad e_1^0 = \frac{i}{k} R_1 X_2, \quad e_0^1 = R_1 \bar{Y}_1,$$

being as in [26] $Y_j = i\bar{Y}_j$ where the $\bar{Y}_j$ are real functions. Since the (e_a^μ) must be real, we remark that $k = i$ implies X_2 real, and $k = 1$ implies X_2 pure imaginary. Moreover

$$R_2 = R_1, \quad \bar{Y}_4 = -\bar{Y}_1, \quad X_3 = -X_2,$$

and

$$g_{00} = \eta \left(\frac{i}{k} R_1 X_2 \right)^{-2}, \quad g_{11} = (R_1 \bar{Y}_1)^{-2}. \quad (78)$$

We remark that, up to now, the introduction of external fields does not interfere with the results of [26] and [9]. The term $\tilde{C} = R_1 C$ of the Dirac operator (71) becomes

$$\tilde{C} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 2ke_1^\mu \Gamma_{\mu}^{01} & 2ie_0^\mu \Gamma_{\mu}^{01} \\ -2ie_0^\mu \Gamma_{\mu}^{01} & -2ke_1^\mu \Gamma_{\mu}^{01} \end{pmatrix} - qR_1 \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Y}_1 A_1 & -iX_2 A_0 \\ iX_2 A_0 & -\bar{Y}_1 A_1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} V & -\eta k \hat{V} \\ -\eta k \hat{V} & V \end{pmatrix}, \quad (79)$$

We assume that the mass term mc^2 , where c is the speed of the light and m is the mass of the particle, is absorbed by the arbitrary constant in the potentials. We know from [26] and [9] that separation of the Dirac equation in dimension two is possible only if one of the coordinates is, up to rescaling, geodesically ignorable (q^i is geodesically ignorable iff $\partial_i g_{jk} = 0 \forall j, k$) and it is easy to check that the introduction of the external fields is immaterial in this respect. Then, from (78) we have that it is not restrictive to assume $X_2 = -ik$, in order to have real spin frame components for any possible value of k , so that the metric depends on y only. Hence, essentially two coordinate systems are associated with this type of separation, the Liouville coordinates and the "polar" coordinates discussed below.

Liouville coordinates

If we require that the metric is in Liouville form $g_{11} = A(x) + B(y)$, $g_{00} = \eta g_{11}$, it follows that $A = 0$ and we can set without restrictions

$$R_1 = \beta(y)^{-1}, \quad X_2 = -ik, \quad \bar{Y}_1 = 1,$$

so that $B(y) = \beta(y)^2$. Consequently, the Dirac operator becomes

$$\mathbb{D} = \frac{ik}{\beta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_x + \frac{i}{\beta} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \partial_y + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i\beta'}{2\beta^2} + \frac{q}{\beta} A_1 - V & -\frac{kq}{\beta} A_0 + \eta k \hat{V} \\ \frac{kq}{\beta} A_0 + \eta k \hat{V} & -\frac{i\beta'}{2\beta^2} - \frac{q}{\beta} A_1 - V \end{pmatrix}$$

and, consequently, we have

$$\begin{cases} qA_0 = \frac{1}{2k}(C_3(x) - C_2(x)), \\ qA_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(C_1(y) - C_4(y) - i\frac{\beta'}{\beta}\right), \\ V = -\frac{1}{2\beta}(C_1(y) + C_4(y)) \\ \hat{V} = \frac{1}{2k\eta\beta}(C_2(x) + C_3(x)). \end{cases} \quad (80)$$

From these relations, since $g_{11} = \beta^2$ and in these coordinates $g^{ii} = g_{ii}^{-1}$, we have (see Appendix D)

Proposition 4. *In Liouville coordinates the vector potential A_μ separable in the scheme D5 is necessarily exact and the force field $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is equal to zero.*

Moreover,

Proposition 5. *In Liouville coordinates, the scalar and pseudoscalar potentials are compatible with separation of variables in the scheme D5 only if V^2 and $\hat{V}^2$ are Stäckel multipliers, that is only if*

$$d(e d(V^2)) = 0, \quad d(e d(\hat{V}^2)) = 0.$$

The computation of (75) provides the operator

$$\eta \left[\left(-\partial_{xx}^2 + 2iqA_0\partial_x + iq\partial_x A_0 + q^2 A_0^2 - \beta^2 \hat{V}^2 \right) \mathbb{I} + i\eta\beta\partial_x \hat{V} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \psi = \nu\psi, \quad (81)$$

and the remaining decoupling relations (76) become

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\partial_{yy}^2 + \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta} - 2iqA_1 \right) \partial_y + \frac{\beta''}{2\beta} - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta} \right)^2 - q^2 A_1^2 - i\frac{\beta'}{\beta} qA_1 - \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - iq\partial_y A_1 + \beta^2 V^2 + 2\mu\beta V + \mu^2 \right) \mathbb{I} + i\partial_y(\beta V) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \psi = \nu\psi. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

It is possible to check that the separated decoupling operator given by (81) commutes with the Dirac operator [26]. Therefore, we have

Proposition 6. *In Liouville coordinates, the vector, scalar and pseudoscalar potentials are compatible with separation of variables in the scheme D5 associated with a symmetry operator if and only if they are of the form (80).*

We remark that the first-order terms in (81) and (82) disappear if

$$qA_0 = 0, \quad qA_1 = -\frac{i\beta'}{2\beta}. \quad (83)$$

The exactness of (A_μ) assures that such a term can always be introduced without affecting the physics of the system, apart from some effect on the phase of the spinors (Aharonov-Bohm effect) and corresponds to the gauge invariance discussed in Section II. Applications of the freedom of choice of a phase factor for the spinor are made for example in [18] and [33] in order to simplify the Dirac equation in curvilinear coordinates.

With this choice of (A_μ) , for any $\beta(y)$ the decoupling relations (81) and (82) give respectively

$$\begin{cases} -\eta \left(a_1''(x) + \beta(\beta \hat{V}^2 - i\eta\partial_x \hat{V}) \right) a_1 = \nu a_1(x), \\ -\eta \left(a_2''(x) + \beta(\beta \hat{V}^2 + i\eta\partial_x \hat{V}) \right) a_2 = \nu a_2(x), \\ b_1''(y) + (i\partial_y(\beta V) + (\beta V + \mu)^2) b_1(y) = \nu b_1(y), \\ b_2''(y) + (-i\partial_y(\beta V) + (\beta V + \mu)^2) b_2(y) = \nu b_2(y). \end{cases} \quad (84)$$

We now substitute the (80), with $g_{00} = \eta\beta^2$, $g_{11} = \beta^2$, $g_{01} = g_{10} = 0$, into the equations (48) determining the covariant second-order symmetry operator obtained in Section V. After some computations we obtain

Proposition 7. *The second-order symmetry operator (81) associated with the separable Liouville coordinates is determined by the following conditions*

- i) e is the canonical Killing tensor associated with the Liouville coordinates: $e_{00} = -\eta\beta^4$, $e_{10} = e_{01} = e_{11} = 0$.
- ii) α is zero,
- iii) α^μ is the zero vector,
- iv) ζ is the zero vector,
- v) the function g' is given, up to additive constants, by

$$g' = \frac{1}{4} \left((C_2(x) + C_3(x))^2 + \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta} \right)^2 \right).$$

Remarkably, for $\nu = 0$ the equations (84) are in the form $z'' - (w^2 + w')z = 0$, with $w = \pm i\eta\beta\hat{V}$ for the a_i and $w = \pm(i\beta V - i\mu)$ for the b_i . The general solution of these equations is in this case [28]

$$z(r) = c_1 z^0 + c_2 z^0 \int \frac{dr}{(z^0)^2}, \quad z^0 = e^{\int w(r) dr}.$$

In general, for $V = \hat{V} = 0$ the decoupling equations of above can be easily integrated, giving, after the imposition of (74) and (77)

$$\begin{cases} \psi_1 = \left(c_1 e^{\frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{k}x} + c_2 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{k}x} \right) \left(d_1 \sin \sqrt{\mu^2 - \nu}y + d_2 \cos \sqrt{\mu^2 - \nu}y \right), \\ \psi_2 = \left(c_3 e^{\frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{k}x} + c_4 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{k}x} \right) \left(d_3 \sin \sqrt{\mu^2 - \nu}y + d_4 \cos \sqrt{\mu^2 - \nu}y \right), \end{cases} \quad (85)$$

where $c_3 = i(\nu)^{-\frac{1}{2}}c_1$, $c_4 = -i(\nu)^{-\frac{1}{2}}c_2$, $d_3 = d_1\mu + id_2\sqrt{\mu^2 - \nu}$, $d_4 = d_2\mu - id_1\sqrt{\mu^2 - \nu}$.

We remark that the Dirac equation in this case is "geodesic", since no external force field is active, even though a non-null vector potential is present. We remark that, even if four constants c_i are given in (85), what is relevant for the completeness (69) of the solution are the ratios a'_i/a_i and b'_i/b_i . Therefore, two parameters disappear and we are left with the ratios, say, c_1/c_2 , d_1/d_2 and the dynamical parameters μ and ν only.

An interesting example of Hamilton-Jacobi and Schrödinger equations with scalar potentials separable in these coordinates on curved spaces can be found in [7]. The classical Hamiltonian given there is considered under different quantizations and represents a generalization of the harmonic oscillator to conformally flat n -dimensional Riemannian manifolds. We rewrite it for the two-dimensional Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian case in Liouville coordinates as

$$H = \frac{e^{-2y}}{2(1 + \lambda e^{2y})} (\eta p_x^2 + p_y^2) + \frac{\omega^2 e^{2y}}{2(1 + \lambda e^{2y})},$$

where λ and ω are parameters. From the expression of the metric tensor and from (80) it is evident that in the corresponding Dirac equation, C_1, C_4 can be chosen so that V coincides with the scalar potential of H and $A_1 = -\frac{i\beta'}{2\beta}$, while $C_2 = C_3 = 0$ give $A_0 = \hat{V} = 0$.

"Polar" coordinates

It can be useful for computation to choose coordinates such that the metric is in the form $g_{00} = \eta B(y)$, $g_{11} = 1$. We call these "polar" coordinates. They are related to Liouville coordinates of above by a simple reparametrization. We remark that in this case also the Robertson condition is satisfied. Then, we can choose

$$R_1 = \beta(y)^{-1}, \quad X_2 = -ik, \quad \bar{Y}_1 = \beta(y).$$

so that $B(y) = \beta(y)^2$. Then, the Dirac operator is

$$\mathbb{D} = \frac{ik}{\beta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_x + \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \partial_y + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i\beta'}{2\beta} + qA_1 - V & -\frac{kq}{\beta}A_0 + \eta k\hat{V} \\ \frac{kq}{\beta}A_0 + \eta k\hat{V} & -\frac{i\beta'}{2\beta} - qA_1 - V \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{cases} qA_0 = \frac{1}{2k}(C_3(x) - C_2(x)), \\ qA_1 = \frac{1}{2}(C_1(y) - C_4(y) - i\beta'), \\ V = -\frac{1}{2\beta}(C_1(y) + C_4(y)) \\ \hat{V} = \frac{1}{2k\eta\beta}(C_2(x) + C_3(x)). \end{cases} \quad (86)$$

Hence,

Proposition 8. *In "Polar" coordinates the vector potential A_μ separable in the scheme D5 is necessarily exact and the force field $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is equal to zero.*

and, as for the Liouville coordinates, we have

Proposition 9. *In "Polar" coordinates, the scalar and pseudoscalar potentials are compatible with separation of variables in the scheme D5 only if V^2 and $\hat{V}^2$ are Stäckel multipliers. That is, only if*

$$d(e d(V^2)) = 0, \quad d(e d(\hat{V}^2)) = 0.$$

The computation of (75) gives the operator

$$\eta \left[\left(-\partial_{xx}^2 + 2iqA_0\partial_x + iq\partial_x A_0 + q^2 A_0^2 - \beta^2 \hat{V}^2 \right) \mathbb{I} + i\eta\beta\partial_x \hat{V} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \psi = \nu\psi, \quad (87)$$

and the remaining decoupling relations (76) become

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\beta^2 \partial_{yy}^2 + 2\beta(\beta' - iqA_1)\partial_y + \frac{1}{2}\beta\beta'' + \frac{1}{4}(\beta')^2 - q^2 A_1^2 - iq\beta\partial_y A_1 + \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \beta^2 V^2 + 2\mu\beta V + \mu^2 \right) \mathbb{I} + i\beta\partial_y(\beta V) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \psi = \nu\psi. \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

Again, the (87) determine a symmetry operator. We observe that the first-order terms in (87) and (88) disappear if

$$qA_0 = 0, \quad qA_1 = -i\beta'. \quad (89)$$

With this choice, the decoupled equations become

$$\begin{cases} -\eta \left(a_1''(x) + \beta(\beta\hat{V}^2 - i\eta\partial_x \hat{V}) \right) a_1 = \nu a_1(x), \\ -\eta \left(a_2''(x) + \beta(\beta\hat{V}^2 + i\eta\partial_x \hat{V}) \right) a_2 = \nu a_2(x), \\ \beta^2 b_1''(y) + \left(\left(\frac{\beta'}{2} \right)^2 + i\beta\partial_y(\beta V) + (\beta V + \mu)^2 \right) b_1(y) = \nu b_1(y), \\ \beta^2 b_2''(y) + \left(\left(\frac{\beta'}{2} \right)^2 - i\beta\partial_y(\beta V) + (\beta V + \mu)^2 \right) b_2(y) = \nu b_2(y). \end{cases} \quad (90)$$

The second-order operator is determined by the same decoupling relations as for the Liouville coordinates.

Proposition 10. *In "Polar" coordinates, the vector, scalar and pseudoscalar potentials are compatible with separation of variables in the scheme D5 associated with a symmetry operator if and only if they are of the form (86).*

The substitution of these conditions into the into the equations (48) in the case of "polar" coordinates gives

Proposition 11. *The second-order symmetry operator (87) associated with the separable "Polar" coordinates is determined by the following conditions*

- i) e is the canonical Killing tensor associated with the Polar coordinates (the same as for the Liouville coordinates):
 $e_{00} = -\eta\beta^4, \quad e_{10} = e_{01} = e_{11} = 0.$
- ii) α is zero,
- iii) α^μ is the zero vector,

iv) ζ is the zero vector,

v) the function g' is given, up to additive constants, by

$$g' = \frac{1}{4} ((C_2(x) + C_3(x))^2 + (\beta')^2).$$

By choosing $\beta = y$, we get $g_{00} = \eta y^2$, $g_{11} = 1$, and we are dealing with the true polar coordinates in Euclidean or Minkowski plane. The scalar potential $V = \frac{h}{y}$ determines the Kepler-Coulomb system. In this case, our Dirac equation with (89) and $\hat{V} = 0$ yields the solution

$$\begin{cases} \psi_1 = \left(c_5 e^{\frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{\kappa} x} + c_6 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{\kappa} x} \right) \left(c_1 y^{\frac{1}{2}+w} + c_2 y^{\frac{1}{2}-w} \right) \\ \psi_2 = \left(i c_5 e^{\frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{\kappa} x} - i c_6 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{\kappa} x} \right) \left(\frac{h+\mu-iw}{\sqrt{\nu}} c_1 y^{\frac{1}{2}+w} + \frac{h+\mu+iw}{\sqrt{\nu}} c_2 y^{\frac{1}{2}-w} \right), \end{cases} \quad (91)$$

where $w = \sqrt{\nu - (h + \mu)^2}$.

By introducing the functions

$$S_\kappa(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin \sqrt{\kappa} z}{\sqrt{\kappa}} & \kappa > 0 \\ z & \kappa = 0 \\ \frac{\sinh \sqrt{|\kappa|} z}{\sqrt{|\kappa|}} & \kappa < 0 \end{cases} \quad C_\kappa(z) = \begin{cases} \cos \sqrt{\kappa} z & \kappa > 0 \\ 1 & \kappa = 0 \\ \cosh \sqrt{|\kappa|} z & \kappa < 0 \end{cases}, \quad T_\kappa(z) = \frac{S_\kappa(z)}{C_\kappa(z)},$$

the trigonometric and hyperbolic functions can be treated simultaneously. By setting $\beta(y) = S_\kappa(y)$, the metric g_{ii} defines the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$, the Euclidean plane $\mathbb{E}^2$, the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$ for $\kappa = 1, 0, -1$ respectively and $\eta = 1$, while the choice $\eta = -1$ gives the anti-de Sitter, the Minkowski and the de Sitter two-dimensional spaces for $\kappa = 1, 0, -1$ respectively. An example of a classical Hamiltonian on curved manifolds with associate Dirac equation which is separable in "polar" coordinates is

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(\eta p_x^2 + \frac{1}{S_\kappa^2(y)} p_y^2 \right) + \alpha_1 T_\kappa^2(y) + \alpha_2 T_\kappa^{-1}(y),$$

representing (for $\eta = 1$) a curved Higgs oscillator ($\alpha_2 = 0$) or a curved Kepler-Coulomb system ($\alpha_1 = 0$) on $\mathbb{S}^2$ or $\mathbb{H}^2$ according to $\kappa = 1$ or $\kappa = -1$ respectively (see for example [8]). Here, the coordinates (x, y) are geodesic polar coordinates. Obviously, a rescaling of the coordinates can put the metric tensor in Liouville form, so that H is also separable in Liouville coordinates, yielding the same expression (85) for the solutions with $\hat{V} = V = 0$ and (A_μ) chosen according to (83). If we choose $\beta = S_\kappa(y)$, then $T_\kappa^{-1} = \beta'/\beta$. For $V = T_\kappa^{-1}(y)$, the decoupling equations (90) can be solved for b_1, b_2 in term of hypergeometric functions, while a_1, a_2 have the same form as in (91).

VIII. CONCLUSION

We give several necessary conditions for the separation in Liouville coordinates of the Dirac equation associated with second-order symmetry operators in an invariant form: the existence of a Killing two-tensor e with a Killing eigenvector, an exact vector potential, scalar and pseudoscalar potentials V and $\hat{V}$ satisfying $d(e \, dV) = d(e \, d\hat{V}^2) = 0$, $d(e \, d\hat{V}^2) = 0$. The correspondence between the second-order symmetry operator and the decoupling operator generated by the separation of variables is made explicit.

Appendix A

Let us here collect identities which are used to expand the symmetry condition $[\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{D}] = 0$ to obtain the equations (12).

$$\begin{cases} [D_\mu, D_\nu] \psi = \frac{1}{4} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \psi - i q F_{\mu\nu} \psi \\ [D_\mu, D_\nu] D_\alpha \psi = \frac{1}{4} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} D_\alpha \psi - i q F_{\mu\nu} D_\alpha \psi - R^\lambda_{\alpha\mu\nu} D_\lambda \psi \end{cases} \quad (92)$$

These are both proven by expanding the covariant derivatives by using (5) and recollecting the curvature tensors.

We also have some Lemmas to expand the iterated covariant derivatives in the basis of symmetrized covariant derivatives.

$$\begin{cases} D_a D_b \psi = D_{ab} \psi + \frac{1}{8} R^{ef}{}_{ab} \gamma_{ef} \psi - \frac{iq}{2} F_{ab} \psi \\ D_{ab} D_c \psi = D_{abc} \psi + \frac{1}{6} \nabla_{(a} R^{ef}{}_{b)c} \gamma_{ef} \psi + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{ef} D_{(a} \psi R^{ef}{}_{b)c} - \frac{1}{3} R^e{}_{(ab)c} D_e \psi + \\ \quad - iq F_{c(a} D_{b)} \psi - \frac{2iq}{3} \nabla_{(a} F_{b)c} \psi \\ D_c D_{ab} \psi = D_{abc} \psi - \frac{1}{12} \nabla_{(a} R^{ef}{}_{b)c} \gamma_{ef} \psi - \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{ef} D_{(a} \psi R^{ef}{}_{b)c} + \frac{2}{3} R^e{}_{(ab)c} D_e \psi + \\ \quad - iq F_{c(a} D_{b)} \psi + \frac{iq}{3} \nabla_{(a} F_{b)c} \psi \end{cases} \quad (93)$$

Here $D_{abc} \psi = D_{(a} D_b D_{c)} \psi$ denotes the symmetrized triple covariant derivative. These are proven by using commutators of covariant derivatives written in terms of the curvature (See (92)).

Appendix B

We shall here collect useful formulae to manipulate products of Dirac matrices in dimension $m = 2$ in any signature η . Hereafter η , by abuse of language, denotes also the determinant of η_{ab} .

$$(\gamma)^2 = -\eta \mathbb{I} \quad \gamma_c \gamma = -\gamma \gamma_c = \eta \epsilon_{ca} \gamma^a \quad \gamma_a \gamma_b = \eta_{ab} \mathbb{I} + \epsilon_{ab} \gamma \quad (94)$$

$$[\gamma_{cd}, \gamma_a] = 4\eta_{a[d} \gamma_{c]} \quad (95)$$

$$[\gamma_{cd}, \gamma_{ab}] = 2\eta_{cb} \gamma_{ad} + 2\eta_{ac} \gamma_{db} - 2\eta_{db} \gamma_{ac} - 2\eta_{da} \gamma_{cb} \quad (96)$$

Appendix C

Let us review some identities about Killing vectors and tensors in dimension 2. Among other things they are used to simplify equations (35).

Let us start by considering a vector ζ^a which satisfies an equation of the form

$$\nabla^{(a} \zeta^{b)} = \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{\alpha\beta} \quad (97)$$

for some symmetric tensor $\Lambda^{\alpha\beta}$. For $\Lambda^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ this reproduces the Killing equation.

One can easily prove that

$$2\nabla^a \nabla^b \zeta^c = R(\eta^{ac} \zeta^b - \eta^{ab} \zeta^c) + \nabla^a \Lambda^{bc} - \nabla^c \Lambda^{ab} + \nabla^b \Lambda^{ac} \quad (98)$$

From this, one obtains by contraction

$$\begin{cases} 2\nabla_a \nabla^a \zeta^c = -R \zeta^c + 2\nabla_a \Lambda^{ac} - \nabla^c \Lambda^a{}_a \\ 2\nabla_a \nabla_b \zeta^c = R \zeta_b + \nabla_b \Lambda^a{}_a \end{cases} \quad (99)$$

Let us now consider a symmetric tensor e^{ab} . By expanding the commutator $\epsilon_{dc} [\nabla_a, \nabla^d] e^{ac}$ one can show that

$$\epsilon_{dc} \nabla_a \nabla^d e^{ac} = \epsilon_{dc} \nabla^d \nabla_a e^{ac} \quad (100)$$

Let us now assume that e^{ab} is a Killing tensor. We have the following identities on second derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{dc} \nabla_a \nabla^d e^{ac} &= 0 \\ \nabla_b \nabla^c e^{ab} - \nabla_b \nabla^b e^{ac} &= 2\nabla_b \nabla^c e^{ab} + \nabla_b \nabla^a e^{cb} \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

This last identity can be split into the symmetric and antisymmetric parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_b \nabla^{(c} e^{a)b} - \nabla_b \nabla^b e^{ac} &= 3\nabla^{(c} \nabla_b e^{a)b} + 3R(e^{ac} - \frac{1}{2} e^b{}_b \eta^{ac}) \\ \nabla_b \nabla^{[c} e^{a]b} &= \nabla^{[c} \nabla_b e^{a]b} \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

Appendix D

We recall here the essentials of the geometric theory of the separation of variables for Hamilton-Jacobi, Helmholtz and Schrödinger equations. The results exposed here can be found in [3, 4, 6] and references therein. Given a natural Hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}p_i p_j + V(q^i)$ on a Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian space of metric (g^{ij}) and orthogonal coordinates (q^i) , the Hamilton-Jacobi equation associated with H has an additively separable solution in (q^i) if and only if the Levi-Civita equations hold

$$p_i p_j \left(\frac{1}{2} S_{ij}(g^{kk}) p_k^2 + S_{ij}(V) \right) = 0, \quad i \neq j,$$

where the so-called Stäckel operator S_{ij} is defined by

$$S_{ij}(A) = g^{ii} g^{jj} \partial_{ij} A - g^{ii} \partial_i g^{jj} \partial_j A - g^{jj} \partial_j g^{ii} \partial_i A = 0, \quad i \neq j,$$

and no sum is made over repeated indices. This is equivalent to

$$S_{ij}(g^{kk}) = 0, \quad S_{ij}(V) = 0,$$

the last equation, sometimes called "Bertrand-Darboux equation", is equivalent to $V = g^{ii} \phi_i(q^i)$, where each $\phi_i(q^i)$ is any function of q^i only, V is then called a "Stäckel multiplier". It is possible to prove [3] that Levi-Civita equations are the integrability conditions of the Eisenhart equations

$$g^{jj} \partial_i \rho_j = (\rho_i - \rho_j) \partial_i \ln g^{jj}, \quad i, j \text{ n.s.}$$

where (ρ_i) are the pointwise distinct eigenvalues of a symmetric Killing two-tensor e , whose eigenvectors are orthogonal to the coordinate hypersurfaces, and therefore $e^{ij} = \delta^{ij} \rho_i g^{jj}$. This establishes a correspondence between Killing two-tensors with pointwise distinct eigenvalues and normal (i.e. surface-forming) eigenvectors and orthogonal coordinates separating additively the integrals of the Hamilton Jacobi equation of H . A symmetric Killing 2-tensor e with distinct eigenvalues and normal eigenvectors (or eigenforms) characterizes completely an orthogonal separable coordinate system and is called "characteristic". This is true even when some of the eigenvalues of e are complex (and, since e is real, exist in complex conjugate pairs) [19]. The introduction of a characteristic Killing tensor allows one to write the equations $S_{ij}(V) = 0$ in the equivalent invariant form

$$d(e \, dV) = 0,$$

where d is the exterior derivative.

The same characterization holds for the orthogonal coordinates separating multiplicatively the Helmholtz or Schrödinger equations determined by the Laplacian of (g^{ij}) and by the scalar potential V [4]. In this case, the separation of these equation is possible if and only if the corresponding Hamilton-Jacobi equation is additively separable and the additional Robertson condition holds. It is possible to prove that the Robertson condition is equivalent to the diagonalization of the Ricci tensor in the orthogonal coordinates separating the geodesic Hamilton-Jacobi equation [4]. It is easy to show that in two-dimensional Liouville coordinates and in the "polar" coordinates considered in the article the Ricci tensor is always diagonalized, then that the Robertson condition holds.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank their reciprocal institutions, the Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Torino and the Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Waterloo for hospitality during which parts of this paper were written. The research was supported in part by a Discovery Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and by a Senior Visiting Professorships of the Gruppo Nazionale di Fisica Matematica - GNFM-INdAM (RGM).

-
- [1] Andrushkevich E. I., Shishkin G.V., Teoreticheskaya i Matematicheskaya Fizika, Vol 70 N 2 pp 289-302, February, 1987
 - [2] Bagrov V G and Gitman D M 1990 Exact Solutions of Relativistic Wave Equations, Boston, Kluwer
 - [3] Benenti S., J. Math. Phys. 38, 6578 (1997)

- [4] Benenti S., Chanu C., Rastelli G., J. Math. Phys. 43, n.11, 5183-5222 (2002);
- [5] Benenti S., Chanu C., Rastelli G., J. Math. Phys. 43, n.11, 5223-5253 (2002);
- [6] Benenti S., Chanu C., Rastelli G., J. Math. Phys. 46, 042901 (2005);
- [7] Ballestreros A., Enciso A., Herranz F. J., Ragnisco O. and Riglioni D., Ann. of Phys. 326 (2011) 20532073
- [8] Ballestreros A., Herranz F. J. and Musso F. 2013 Nonlinearity 26 971
- [9] Carignano A., Fatibene L., McLenaghan R.G. and Rastelli G., SIGMA 7 (2011), 057, 13 pages.
- [10] Carter B., Phys. Rev. D. 16, n. 12, (1977) 3395-3414
- [11] Carter B., McLenaghan R.G. Phys. Rev. D 19, 4, (1979)
- [12] Castro Neto A. S., Guinea F., Peres N. M. R., Novoselov K. S. and Geim A. K., Reviews of modern physics, vol 81, Jan-Mar 2009
- [13] Chanachowitz M., Chanu C. and McLenaghan R. G., J. Geom. Phys. 59 (2009), no. 7, 876884.
- [14] Chanu C. and Rastelli G., IJGMMP,3,(2006) pp. 489-508
- [15] Chandrasekhar S. Proc. Roy. Soc. London A349, 571 (1976)
- [16] Czapor S. R. and McLenghan R. G. J. Math. Phys. 23 (1982), no. 11,
- [17] de Castro A. S. Physics Letters A 318 (2003) 4047
- [18] Cook A. H., Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 1982 383, 247-278
- [19] Degiovanni L. and Rastelli G., J. Math. Phys. 48, 073519 (2007)
- [20] Fatibene L., Francaviglia M., Acta Phys. Polon. B 29 (1998), no. 4, 915-928
- [21] Fatibene L., Francaviglia M., Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 2 (2005), no. 2, 147-157
- [22] Fatibene L., McLenaghan R.G., Rastelli G. and Smith S.N., J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009), 053516-1-12.
- [23] Fels M., Kamran N., Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 428 (1990), no. 1874, 229249.
- [24] Gerritsma R., Kirchmair G., Zahringer F., Solano E., Blatt R. and Roos C. F., Nature, Vol 463—7 January 2010
- [25] Kalnins E. G., Miller W. Jr., and G. C. Williams, Journal of Mathematical Physics 27, 1893 (1986);
- [26] McLenaghan R.G. and Rastelli G., Separation of variables for systems of first-order partial differential equations and the Dirac equation in two-dimensional manifolds, IMA volumes in mathematics and its applications. M. Eastwood and W. Miller Jr. eds. Vol. 144, 471-496, Springer (2008)
- [27] Miller W. Jr, Mechanisms for variable separation in partial differential equations and their relationship to group theory, Proceedings of School of Nonlinear Phenomena, Paipa Columbia, Feb 22-26, 188-221, 1988
- [28] Polyanin A.D., Zaitsev V. S. Handbook of exact solutions for ordinary differential equations, 2nd-edition, Chapman & Hall CRC, Boca Raton (2003)
- [29] Shapovalov, V. N., Ekle, G. G., Izv. Vyss. Uceb. Zaved. Fizika 1973, no. 6, 94100.
- [30] Shishkin G.V., Dokl. Akad. Nauk BSSR 33 (1989), no. 4, 336339, 382.
- [31] Shishkin G. V., J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 (1993) 4135-4146.
- [32] Shishkin G. V., Cabos W. D., J. Math. Phys. 32, 3184 (1991)
- [33] Shishkin G. V., Villalba V. M. J. Math. Phys. 30, 2132 (1989)
- [34] Smith S.N., Symmetry operators and separation of variables for the Dirac equation on curved space-times. Ph.D. thesis, University of Waterloo, (2002).
- [35] Thaller B. The Dirac equation, Springer-Verlag 2010
- [36] Villalba V. M. Il nuovo cimento Vol. 112 B, N. 1 Gennaio 1997